

Welcome to the institutional self-assessment of university governance in the framework of the INsPIRE project.

Section A: Prioritization governance DIMENSIONS You are asked to assess the IMPORTANCE of each governance DIMENSION in relation to the effective functioning of the institution.		
A1. Please evaluate from 1 (low) to 5 (high) how I the following governance DIMENSIONS.	MPORTANT is each of	
AUTONOMY	1 (low) 2 3 4 5 (high)	
MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES		
ACCOUNTABILITY		
PARTICIPATION		
Section B: Prioritization governance SUB-You are asked to assess the IMPORTANCE of each governance SUB-		
B1. Please evaluate from 1 (low) to 5 (high) how is DIMENSION of the INSTITUTIONAL AUT	•	
	1 (low) 2 3 4 5 (high)	
ORGANIZATIONAL AUTONOMY		
ACADEMIC AUTONOMY		
AUTONOMY IN DECISION-MAKING ABOUT HUMAN RESOURCES		
FINANCIAL AUTONOMY		
B2. Please evaluate from 1 (low) to 5 (high) how is governance SUB-DIMENSION of the MANA TECHNIQUES.	•	
	1 (low) 2 3 4 5 (high)	
DEFINITION OF QUALITY ASSURANCE MECHANISMS		
EFFECTIVE USE OF PERFORMANCE PLANNING TOOLS		
EFFECTIVE USE OF EVALUATION RESULTS		



В3.	Please evaluate from 1 (low) to 5 (high) how in DIMENSION related to institutional ACCOU	-
		1 (low) 2 3 4 5 (high)
	ORGANISATIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY	
	ACADEMIC ACCOUNTABILITY	
	HUMAN RESOURCES ACCOUNTABILITY	
	FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY	
B4.	Please evaluate from 1 (low) to 5 (high) how is PARTICIPATION of INTERNAL and EXTE the institutional life.	
		1 2 3 4 5
	INT: Students	
	INT: Students unions	
	INT: Alumni	
	INT: Professors	
	INT: Administrative staff	
	EXT: Ministry of Higher Education	
	EXT: Central quality department	
	EXT: Local authorities	
	EXT: Private sector	
	EXT: Research institutes	
	EXT: Donors	
	EXT: International organisations	
	EXT: European Union	
	EXT: NGOs	



Section C: Assessment of INSTITUTIONAL AUTONOMY

You are asked to ASSESS to what extent the instituion is ABLE TO DECIDE FREELY IN RELATION TO THE CENTRAL AUTORITY.

AUTORITY.		
C1.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the ORGANIZATIONAL AUTONOMY of the gotto decide on TEACHING activities.	0
		1 2 3 4 5
	Functions of governing bodies	
	Composition of governing bodies	
	Selection criteria of governing bodies	
	Dismissal criteria of governing bodies	
C2.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the ORGANIZATIONAL AUTONOMY of the gotto decide on RESEARCH activities.	
		1 2 3 4 5
	Functions of governing bodies	
	Composition of governing bodies	
	Selection criteria of governing bodies	
	Dismissal criteria of governing bodies	
C3.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the ORGANIZATIONAL AUTONOMY of the go to decide on the THIRD MISSION of the universely	overning bodies entitled
		1 2 3 4 5
	Functions of governing bodies	
	Composition of governing bodies	
	Selection criteria of governing bodies	
	Dismissal criteria of governing bodies	
C4.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the ACADEMIC AUTONOMY in relation to TEA	•
		1 2 3 4 5
	Overall students number	
	Students' selection	



		1 2 3 4 5
	Introduction of programs	
	Design of course contents	
	Choice of the language of instruction	
	se evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to DEMIC AUTONOMY in relation to RE	e
		1 2 3 4 5
	Definition of research programs	
A	equisition of research tools / technical instruments	
ACA	te evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to DEMIC AUTONOMY in relation to the niversity.	_
		1 2 3 4 5
Set-up of socio-e	economic activities (such as museum management, medical laboratories)	
	Set-up of students' follow-up mechanisms	
	Set-up of students' placement office	
AUT	te evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to ONOMY in taking decisions on HUMAN EACHING activities.	_
		1 2 3 4 5
	Salaries for academic staff	
	Salaries for administrative staff	
	Dismissal for academic staff	
	Dismissal for administrative staff	
AUT	te evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to ONOMY in taking decisions on HUMAN ESEARCH activities.	_
	Salaries for academic staff	1 2 3 4 5
	Salaries for administrative staff	



		1 2 3 4 5
	Dismissal for academic staff	
	Dismissal for administrative staff	
С9.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the AUTONOMY iin taking decision on HUMAN in the THIRD MISSION of the university.	
Per	centage of dedicated time to support Third Mission activities	1 2 3 4 5
C10.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the FINANCIAL AUTONOMY in deciding upon for TEACHING activities.	G
	Buy / Sell assets	1 2 3 4 5
	Decision on how to allocate public funds	
C11.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the FINANCIAL AUTONOMY in deciding upon for RESEARCH activities.	
		1 2 3 4 5
	Buy / Sell assets	
	Attraction of funds from the private sector	
	Attraction of funds from EU and international organizations	
	Decision on how to allocate private funds	
C12.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the FINANCIAL AUTONOMY in deciding upon for activities related to the THIRD MISSION	the financial resources
		1 2 3 4 5
	Buy / Sell assets	
	Investement of its own resources	
	Attraction of funds from the private sector	
	Attraction of funds from EU and international organizations	
	Decision on how to allocate private funds	



Section D: Assessment of MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES You are asked to ASSESS to what extent the Institution is ABLE TO EFFECTIVELY USE MANAGERIAL TOOLS.

D1. Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high EFFECTIVENESS in the DEFINITION O PROCEDURES in relation to TEACHING	F QUALITY
	1 2 3 4 5
Definition of standard procedures for the evaluation of academ courses and teaching methodologic	
Definition of standard procedures for the evaluation of stude service	
Definition of standard procedures for the evaluation of studen performan	
D2. Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high EFFECTIVENESS in the DEFINITION of PROCEDURES in relation to RESEARCH	QUALITY
Definition of standard procedures for the design of research program Definition of standard procedures for the acquisition of research	ch
tools / technical instrumer	ts L
D3. Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high EFFECTIVENESS in the DEFINITION of PROCEDURES in relation to the THIRD Is Definition of standard procedures for the realization of social economic activitics. Definition of standard procedures for the evaluation of student follows. Definition of standard procedures for the students' placement of standard procedures for the standard procedures for the students' placement of standard procedures for the	QUALITY MISSION of the university. 1 2 3 4 5 0-es ts' up nt nt
EFFECTIVENESS in the use of PLANNIN TEACHING activities. Planning documents (medium and long term strategic goal Budget documents (short term operational goal)	1 2 3 4 5 s)
D5. Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high EFFECTIVENESS in the use of PLANNIN RESEARCH activities.	•
Planning documents (medium and long term strategic goal	1 2 3 4 5 s)



		1 2 3 4 5
	Budget documents (short term operational goals)	
D6.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the EFFECTIVENESS in the use of PLANNING activities related to the university's THIRD Management	TOOLS for the
	Planning documents (medium and long term strategic goals)	1 2 3 4 5
	Budget documents (short term operational goals)	
D7.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the EFFECTIVENESS in the USE of EVALUAT relation to TEACHING activities.	
		1 2 3 4 5
Use	of data on organizational performance for decision-making	
Use	of data on individual staff performance for decision-making	
D8.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the EFFECTIVENESS in the USE of EVALUAT relation to RESEARCH activities.	
		1 2 3 4 5
Use	of data on organizational performance for decision-making	
Use	of data on individual staff performance for decision-making	
D9.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the EFFECTIVENESS in the USE of EVALUAT relation to the THIRD MISSION of the unvertible.	ION RESULTS in
Use	of data on organizational performance for decision-making	1 2 3 4 5
Use	of data on individual staff performance for decision-making	
Section E: Assessment of ACCOUNTABILITY You are asked to ASSESS to what extent the Institution is ACCOUNTABLE to stakeholders.		
E1.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for ORGANI related to TEACHING.	
	Compliance with the Mission and Vision of the institution	1 2 3 4 5



		1 2 3 4 5
	Compliance with planning documents (strategic plan)	
Org	anisation and functioning of institutional central governing bodies	
E2.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) t Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for ORGANI related to RESEARCH activities.	
	Compliance with the Mission and Vision of the institution	1 2 3 4 5
	Compliance with planning documents (strategic plan)	
Org	anisation and functioning of institutional central governing bodies	
E3.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for ORGANI related to the THIRD MISSION of the universal control of t	IZATIONAL aspects
		1 2 3 4 5
	Compliance with the Mission and Vision of the institution	
	Compliance with planning documents (strategic plan)	
Org	ganisation and functioning of institutional central governing bodies	
E4.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for ACADEM TEACHING.	
		1 2 3 4 5
	Students' performance	
	Students services	
	Academic workload	
E5.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for ACADEM RESEARCH activities.	
		1 2 3 4 5
	Research results	
	Acquisition of research tools/technical instruments	



E6.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for ACADEM the THIRD MISSION of the university.	
Set-up of	f socio-economic activities (such as museum management, medical laboratories)	1 2 3 4 5
	Student follow-up	
	Set-up of student placement office	
E7.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for HUMAN MANAGEMENT in relation to TEACHING.	RESOURCES
	Dismissal of academic staff	1 2 3 4 5
	Dismissal of administrative staff	
	Promotions for academic staff	
	Promotions for administrative staff	
	Punitive sanctions against unethical behavior	
E8.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for HUMAN MANAGEMENT in relation to RESEARCH	RESOURCES
		1 2 3 4 5
	Dismissal of academic staff	
	Dismissal of administrative staff	
	Promotions for academic staff	
	Promotions for administrative staff	
	Punitive sanctions against unethical behavior	
E9.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for HUMAN MANAGEMENT in relation to the THIRD Muniversity.	RESOURCES
	Percentage of time dedicated to Third Mission activities	1 2 3 4 5



		1 2 3 4 5
	Punitive sanctions against unethical behavior	
E10.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for FINANCE TEACHING.	
		1 2 3 4 5
	Budget sources	
	Budget allocation	
	Debt level	
E11.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for FINANCE the THIRD MISSION of the university.	
		1 2 3 4 5
	Budget sources	
	Budget allocation	
	Debt level	
E12.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to Institution is ACCOUNTABLE for FINANCE RESEARCH activities.	
		1 2 3 4 5
	Budget sources	
	Budget allocation	
	Debt level	
Section F: Assessment on PARTICIPATION You are asked to assess the DEGREE OF PARTICIPATION OF STAKEHOLDERS in the overall institutional functioning and their influence on decision-making.		
F1.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to finternal stakeholders in TEACHING a	_
		1 2 3 4 5
	Students	
	Students unions	
	Alumni	



		1 2 3 4 5
	Professors	
	Administrative staff	
F2.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the	_
	of INTERNAL stakeholders in RESEARCH a	activities.
		1 2 3 4 5
	Students	
	Students unions	
	Alumni	
	Professors	
	Administrative staff	
F3.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) the	he degree of involvement
	of INTERNAL stakeholders in the activities r THIRD MISSION.	related to the university's
	Q. I.	1 2 3 4 5
	Students	
	Students unions	
	Alumni	
	Professors	
	Administrative staff	
F4.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to fexternal stakeholders in TEACHING	_
		1 2 3 4 5
	Ministry of Higher Education	
	Central quality department	
	Local authorities	
	Private sector	
	Research institutes	



		1 2 3 4 5
	Donors	
	International organisations	
	European Union	
	NGOs	
F5.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to of EXTERNAL stakeholders in RESEARCH	
		1 2 3 4 5
	Ministry of Higher Education	
	Central quality department	
	Local authorities	
	Private sector	
	Research institutes	
	Donors	
	International organisations	
	European Union	
	NGOs	
F6.	Please evaluate on a scale 1 (low) to 5 (high) to of EXTERNAL stakeholders in the activities university's THIRD MISSION.	
		1 2 3 4 5
	Ministry of Higher Education	
	Central quality department	
	Local authorities	
	Private sector	
	Research institutes	
	Donors	



	1	2	3	4	5
International organisations					
European Union					
NGOs					

Thanks for your time!

UNIMED team